

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,841	07/10/2003	Masayoshi Usui	USUI-13J	1720
1218 7	590 08/18/2004		EXAMINER	
CASELLA & HESPOS			GIMIE, MAHMOUD	
274 MADISON NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TIETT TOTAL,	**		3747	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/616,841	USUI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mahmoud Gimie	3747	_				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	}				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the second of the	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO II. by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 10 July 2003.						
•	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	,					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to I	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objection on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyathe correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/15/04. 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))				

Application/Control Number: 10/616,841 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a joint structure including main pipe or common rail connected to a branch high pressure pipe or supply high pressure pipe as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in

Application/Control Number: 10/616,841

Art Unit: 3747

compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an <u>abstract of the</u> disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The word "excellent" does not describe the disclosure or assist the reader in understanding the disclosure.

4. A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the legibility of the specification, the meaning of the sentences, and the lack of proper spacing between words renders the invention difficult to understand.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. Underlining the added text must

Application/Control Number: 10/616,841

Art Unit: 3747

show the text of any added subject matter. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth-in-section-102-of-this-title, if-the-differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guido et al (4,881,763).

Guido discloses a fuel injection line for an internal combustion engine provided with a sealing taper portion (header) with plastic deformation of its sealing surface.

Guido does not explicitly teach that the pipe header is manufactured by transformation induced plastic type strength steel. Nevertheless, it appears that applicant's claimed subject matter is a steel pipe with plastic strength.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention by manufacturing the sealing taper portion (4) having

Art Unit: 3747

a sealing surface (5) with plastic deformation as taught by Guido et al. The motivation to do so would have been to manufacture a high-pressure fuel pipe without the formation of cracks (col. 1, II. 22-23) at a lower cost (col. 1, II. 62), see figure 1.

With regard to claims 2-12, see above, figure 1 and relevant text in Guido et al.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show high-pressure fuel supply pipes.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 703-305-1037. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,841

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MG

MAHMOUD GIMIE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3747